

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

CHRISTOPHER A. JONES,)	
)	3:08-cv-00537-LRH-VPC
Plaintiff,)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
vs.)	
)	
E. K. McDANIEL, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Before the court is Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate's Order (#18) Pursuant to FRCP 72(a) and Motion to Revoke (#21¹) and Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate Judge's Order Setting an Early Mediation Conference (#26). The court will treat Plaintiff's objection (#21) as a motion to reconsider the Magistrate Judge's Order #18.

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's motion, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#18) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion (#21) is denied.

Also before the court is Defendants' Motion for Enlargement of Time to file Opposition (#19) to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (#16) until after the Early Mediation Conference scheduled for September 22, 2009. Plaintiff has filed his Opposition to Defendants Motion for Enlargement of Time (#22). Good cause appearing,

¹Refers to this court's docket number.

1 Defendants' motion for enlargement of time (#19) is granted. Defendants shall have to
2 and including Wednesday, September 30, 2009, to file and serve their opposition to Plaintiff's
3 Motion for Reconsideration (#16).

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED this 2nd day of September, 2009.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE